

# Proposal for New Degree Programme Stage 1

## Contents

## 1 OVERVIEW OF PROGRAMME ABOUT THE PROGRAMME

## 2 BUSINESS CASE

STRATEGIC PLANNING, RECRUITMENT & COMPETITOR ANALYSIS FEES AND COSTING ANTICIPATED AND PROJECTED ENROLMENTS PLANNING AND RESOURCES COLLABORATIVE PROGRAMMES

## 3 CONSULTATION AND APPROVAL

STAGE 1: CONSULTATION STAGE 2: SCHOOL BOARD OF STUDIES REVIEW AND APPROVAL STAGE 3: HEAD OF SCHOOL REVIEW AND APPROVAL STAGE 4: COLLEGE CURRICULUM APPROVAL BOARD REVIEW AND OUTCOME

## **OVERVIEW OF PROGRAMME**

Grey text has been added to provide guidance. Please delete as you add your own text, remove italics, and change the font colour to black.

ABOUT THE PROGRAMME			
Title of programme	PhD in Biomedical Artificial Intel	PhD in Biomedical Artificial Intelligence(e.g. EngD in Offshore Renewable Energy)	
Intended Award	PhD		
Alternative awards	(Insert name e.g. PG Dip, PG Cert)		
School	Informatics		
Programme Director	Prof Guido Sanguinetti		
Programme start dates	01/09/2020		
SCQF level of highest award			
Total credit value of programme <i>(for highest award)</i>			
Partner institution(s) if any			
Mode of delivery	On campus	x	
(Please ✓ those which apply to this programme)	Online		
	Blended learning		

	FT	x
	PT	
	Intermittent	
Expected length of programme	FT	3yrs
	PT	
	Intermittent	

Description of the programme and its structure (maximum 150 words)

This new PhD programme is being proposed in the context of the bid for a UKRI Centre for Doctoral Training in Biomedical Artificial Intelligence. Students admitted onto the PhD will necessarily have completed successfully the MSc by Research year (being proposed in parallel). The structure of the PhD programme will follow the consolidated best practice in UoE; distinctive features of this programme is the interdisciplinary nature, so that all students will be jointly supervised by one Sol supervisor and one supervisor from the other UoE participating institutes.*Written to be accessible to a lay audience, to be used for marketing purposes. Identify the programme's distinctive features and unique selling points.* 

Career, employability and opportunities for continuing professional development.

Students completing the CDT PhD programme in Biomedical Artificial Intelligence will face a highly favourable job market, with multiple career opportunities for subsequent employment both in academia, industry and third sector. Biomedical AI is expected to be a sector of particular growth in the near to medium term, as highlighted in several governmental reports (Industrial Strategy, Life Sciences Industrial Strategy, etc) as well as major industrial players (Association of British Pharma Industry, Glaxo-Smith-Klein strategic report 2018). According to the EU patent office, the Med-Tech sector filed the largest number of new patents in 2017 than any sector. Anecdotal evidence from colleagues working in the field suggests a buoyant job market in both public and private sector with a lively start-up ecosystem. All of these facts point to a high employability for any graduates of the programme, with excellent subsequent career options.

Written in language which is accessible to a lay audience, to be used for marketing purposes. Should include examples of potential career destinations and how the skills and abilities gained through the programme contribute to career development. (Maximum 100 words)

## **BUSINESS CASE**

This section should be used to outline the business case for the proposed programme. Before completing this section market research should have been undertaken.

STRATEGIC PLANNING, RECRUITMENT & COMPETITOR ANALYSIS		
Programme Title	PhD in Biomedical Artificial Intelligence	
Programme Proposer	Prof Guido Sanguinetti	
Strategic Planning	This new programme is being proposed in the context of the bid for a UKRI CDT in Biomedical Artificial Intelligence, currently under review. The strategic relevance of this programme is very high: bringing together an academic faculty spanning all three Colleges, if successful, this bid will provide a major opportunity for interdisciplinary collaboration, greatly strengthening the (already very solid) research links between Informatics and medicine and biology, and bringing new links to social scientists studying the impact of technology on society. <i>Briefly state how the new programme will contribute to School, College and/or University Strategic Plans.</i> • In the context of existing programmes, what are your expectations for this programme?	

<b>Recruitment</b> <i>Please provide a detailed commentary on</i> <i>your marketing and recruitment strategy.</i>	Recruitment for this PhD programme will advertising on specialist sites, mailing lists the sector.	be carried out using strategies alread and, crucially, relying on our extensiv	y tested in our existing CDTs, inv ve network of scientific contacts	volving a mixture of online and our high visibility in
Competitor Analysis	The proposed programme is part of an	ongoing CDT competition. The m	arketplace will thus depend	on its outcome.
A competitor analysis report provides a better understanding of the marketplace and competition, from the going rate for tuition fees to the unique selling points and marketing strategies of competitor programmes.	Successful outline proposals in this area in the first round were made by Glasgow, Manchester and (to a lesser extent) Oxford and Imperial. Compared to these potential competitors, our programme has the advantage of a more marked informatics leadership, as well as a stronger focus on fundamental science (albeit still including a substantial element of translational applications). All programmes will offer fully funded 4-year PhD or 1+3 MSc+PhD placements, so that fee structures are not relevant here.			
Competitor Fees	Institution	Programme	Fee	25
Provide the fee structure (in British pounds) of three competitors, preferably			Home	International
analysis. These may be UK or International competitors.				

FEES AND COSTING		
Programme fees	Home-Scotland / EU	
British pounds. For PGT programmes, a	Home-RUK	

Programme Costing Template will also be required for Fee Strategy Group.	Overseas		
Fees for each new PGT programme are sent by College to the Fee Strategy Group (FSG) for review and approval. The FSG has developed a Programme Costing Template to give FSG insight into the anticipated profitability of a programme and where it sits within its market. The Fees Costings template, and guidance from FSG on filling out the template is included in the spreadsheet attached to the right.			FSGProgrammeCost ingTemplateFinalHS
Additional Programme Costs (PGR only) Additional costs to the student should be noted and justified in the table below. These should consist of items that are over and above the basic provision that sho students and should reflect the special additional costs associated with the specific programme of study. Individual items over £200 should be noted on a separat			
lte	m	Cost	% of Total
Add rows as necessary			
	Total		100%

ANTICIPATED AND PROJECTED ENROLMENTS				
What are the anticipated and projected er	prolments over the next three years?	-	_	
	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	
Home	8	8	8	
International	4	4	4	
Supporting Research	These numbers represent the prospective intake from the CDT bid; these are partly the results of funder constraints (at least 10 students per year), additional student numbers were offered due to industrial support and the strong interest in the area.			

What market research has been planned	
student numbers?	

PLANNING AND RESOURCES	
New Courses	NAHave new courses been approved by School Board of Studies and if not what is the projected timeline for approval?
Facilities and Equipment	NAHave all estates issues been considered e.g. lab, lecture theatre, teaching studio capacity, research space, PGR study space/desk space
	<ul> <li>Does the programme have any special resource requirements in terms of equipment (existing and/or new) and facilities? If so, what plans are in place to manage these or make them available?</li> <li>Will any specialised equipment or texts be required by students?</li> </ul>
Staff	As part of the bid preparation, we have assembled a large faculty of potential PhD supervisors from the School and the other participating institutes.
Resource Sharing	<ul> <li>Does the programme share courses with other programmes in the School, College or University, and are there opportunities for sharing resources developed for this programme elsewhere?</li> <li>Have you consulted Course Organisers regarding students on this proposed programme taking their courses?</li> </ul>

## COLLABORATIVE PROGRAMMES

Additional information is required for new programmes that are collaborations with external institutions or organisations which will result in a joint award and/or where taught components are shared. International partnerships must have a Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) in place before the programme can be approved by College.

Should the proposal be progressed to Stage 2 a draft Memorandum of Agreement (MoA) will need to accompany the submission.

Separate guidance is available for the development of collaborative programmes.

http://www.ed.ac.uk/governance-strategic-planning/collaborative-activity/guidance-templates

• Please provide brief details of partnership below, including confirmation of which institution will be the Administering University, the fee structure and confirmation of any external funding (if available).

## **CONSULTATION AND APPROVAL**

Programme Title:	PhD in Biomedical Artitificial intelligence
Programme Proposer:	Prof Guido Sanguinetti

#### **STAGE 1: CONSULTATION**

Please confirm consultation with relevant stakeholders has taken place.

Stakeholder	Yes	NA
School Director of Professional Services		
School Academic Administration Staff		
Information Services (including Academic Support Librarians)		
Student Body (SSLC/Student representatives)		
Partner School Staff (E.G. Joint Programmes/shared courses etc)		
Employers		
Industry and Professional Bodies		
External Consultation		
Please note any other consultation		

#### Please provide a brief comment on the consultation process

HoS/ Directors of Institutes involved in the bid were consulted during the preparation. Industrial/ external stakeholders were also consulted through a co-creation workshop.

Please provide a brief comment on the consultation process with External consultants

## STAGE 2: SCHOOL BOARD OF STUDIES REVIEW AND APPROVAL

Confirmation of approval of the proposal at the School Board of Studies should be entered below.

## Date of BoS:

Convener Name:

#### Comment and Approval (BoS Minute):

Please provide either a link to the minutes of the Board or a copy of the relevant text from the minutes.

#### STAGE 3: HEAD OF SCHOOL REVIEW AND APPROVAL

#### Head of School:

Please print name

Comment and Approval:

Signature:

## STAGE 4: COLLEGE CURRICULUM APPROVAL BOARD REVIEW AND OUTCOME

Date of CCAB:	
Convener Name:	
Stage 1 Outcome (please select as appropriate)	
Permission to proceed to Stage 2	
Permission to proceed to Stage 2 with conditions	

Proposal rejected with recommendations	
Proposal rejected	
Comment:	

## **Document Control**

Date approved:	Amendments:	Date for next review:
Start date:		April 2018
Contact name & role:	Department:	Email:
Matt Elliot	College Academic Affairs	Matt.Elliot@ed.ac.uk
If you require this document in an alternative format please email: deanga@exseed.ed.ac.uk		