

# Case Studies in AI Ethics (CSAI)

Response to student feedback in 2021/22 course survey

I have taken note of the feedback from students in this course survey, and have the following comments in response. Thank you very much for providing feedback. This is extremely useful to improve CSAI next academic year.

- CW2, which was an essay-based component of the course, was liked by most of the students. Since it was the first year of the course, it was difficult to provide essay examples. Before the exam, I tried to share couple of examples in an anonymized manner. I will consider sharing such examples in the next years. The restrictions on the references was intentional. Most of the students have a tendency to cite many references without really thinking about them. If the students are expected to be selective, they invest more in thinking thoroughly.
- I will consider decreasing the amount of ethical frameworks we cover. As we did in the tutorial, applying a specific framework to case studies would be more interesting. I will also consider reducing the number of readings assigned.
- I believe our tutorials were well organized with clear descriptions and solutions provided. During the tutorials, we cover the basics as described in the material. The aim is not to finish all questions in 1-hour slot, instead we want you to think about it in your own time. We may have more CSAI tutors in the future who already took this or a similar course. You are wanted!
- All the lectures have been recorded and were accesible online. I agree that the discussions are lost in most of the times since I am the only one having a mic (sigh!).
- Since the course has a general audience (most students were from the CDT in NLP and PPLS), I cannot make this much more practical. However, all the course organizers are encouraged to add practical ethical components to their assessments.

**Nadin Kokciyan, 17/06/22**